

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

			vvastinge	on, p.o. 2020 :	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/838,864	04/20/01	TSUKAGOSHI		κ ε	76564.0059
Г		•	_	EXAMINER	
		MMC2/0705	•		
SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL, LLP				SHINGLETON, M	
ATT: JOEL E.	LUTZKER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
919 THIRD AV	'ENUE				
NEW YORK NY	10022			2817	(3)
				DATE MAILED:	<u> </u>
					07/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	09-838,864	Tsuka	g oshi
Office Action Summary	Examiner	l Gre	oup Art Unit
	SHINGLE	TON 2	817
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet b	eneath the corresp	oondence address
Period for Response			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE ! hee!	MONTH(S)	FROM THE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by de</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will,</li> </ul>	, a response within the statuto	ory minimum of thirty (3 from the mailing date	0) days will be considered timely. of this communication .
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193</li> </ul>			nerits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 45,7 and 8			ng in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdr	awn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowe	ed.
	7and 8	@/are reject	ed.
☐ Claim(s)			ed to.
□ Claim(s)		are subject	to restriction or election
Application Papers			•
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗌 approved	$\square$ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	cted to by the Examiner.		
□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Interest</li> </ul>	the priority documents h	ave been	
*Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	No(s) 🗆 I	nterview Summary,	PTO-413
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	10	lotice of Informal Pa	atent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	48 🗆 (	Other	
Offic	e Action Summary		

Şerial Number: 09-838,864 Page 2

Art Unit:2817

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections- 35 USC 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- 2. (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leonowich 5,063,359(Leonowich) in view of Van Den Homberg 6,111,473 (Homberg) and Tomatsu et al. 5,285,168 (Tomatsu).
- 4. Leonowich discloses an oscillation circuit, i.e. oscillator, wherein the resonant circuit
  (Note that this is what applicant calls the "oscillator") is directly connected to the input of the
  differential amplifier. Note that Leonowich utilizes a double ended output amplifier, however, it
  is common place to employ a single ended output in oscillation circuits as taught by Homberg.
  The choice of using a single ended output or a double ended output is dependent on where the
  oscillator is to be used. For example, in a floating ground circuit one would employ the double
  ended output oscillator and in a single ended ground based circuit one would employ the single
  ended oscillator. Such a choice merely represents a choice within the skill of one of routine skill in
  the art and is taught by Homberg and thus for these reasons one of ordinary skill in the art at the
  time the invention was made would have found it obvious to select a single or double
  ended output differential amplifier for the differential amplifier 20 of Leonowich.
- 5. Leonowich fails to describe the specifics of the differential amplifier as claimed.

Serial Number: 09-838,864

Art Unit:2817

6. Figs. 1 and 3 of Tomatsu discloses the specific differential amplifier having all the recited transistors connected in the manner as claimed. A comparison of the structure of Figure 6 of the instant invention with the structure Figs. 1 and 3 of Tomatsu reveals that:

7. The advantage of the differential amplifier circuit of Tomatsu over conventional differential amplifier circuits rests in the fact that such an arrangement offers "low power consumption" (see col. 3, ln 4) and has a "small characteristic dispersion" (see col. 3, ln 3). It is a better differential amplifier.

Serial Number: 09-838,864 Page 4

Art Unit:2817

8. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the differential amplifier of Leonowich with that of Tomatsu so as to lower power consumption which is always a concern in oscillator circuits and provide for a small characteristic dispersion as both taught by Tomatsu...

## **Double Patenting Rejection**

- 9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 10. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 11. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 12. Claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over all the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,242,980 in view of Leonowich 5,063,359 (Leonowich).
- 13. The claims of the '980 Patent set forth a more limiting differential amplifier circuit than the claims of the instant application. (Note that the claims of the '980 Patent recite the additional

Serial Number: 09-838,864

Art Unit:2817

feature where the commonly shared gates of the two current mirrors are connected via a common node.) The claims of the '980 Patent contain all the limitations as it concerns the differential amplifier part as is claimed in the instant application. The claimed invention of the '980 Patent does not recite the use of an "oscillator" connected to the input of the differential amplifier so as to form an oscillation circuit, i.e. oscillator. Note that applicant uses the terminology "oscillator" to represent what is commonly called the resonant portion of the oscillator; it is recognized in the art to name the entire circuit the oscillator. It is conventional to utilize a resonant circuit (oscillator) at the input of a differential amplifier so as to form an oscillator as taught by

14. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place a resonant circuit at the input of the differential amplifier as claimed in the '980 patent so as to form an oscillator as taught by Leonowich.

#### **Drawings**

15. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the placement of "oscillator" at the input of the specific differential amplifier arrangement as claimed must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

### Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 3,301,513 A1 to Rall discloses the use of a differential amplfiier "DV" and a parallel connected LC circuit "SK" that forms the resonator of the oscillator shown in Fig. 1. JP 10-10174 to Hajime discloses the use of a differential amplifier "Av2" that uses a resonator "Q1" connected to the input of the differential amplifier so as to form an oscillator. IBM TDB

Page 6

Serial Number: 09-838,864

Art Unit:2817

#NN6810527 discloses the use of a resonator connected to the input of a high gain amplifier so as to form an oscillator.

18. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. FAX no. (703) 308-7724. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael Shingleton whose telephone number is (703) 308-4903. The examiner's normal work schedule is Mon-Fri. with first Fridays of the bi-week off. For example January 4, 2001 would be a normal first Friday off. The examiner's normal hours range from 5:30-10:30 AM to 2:30-8:00 PM.

Shingleton June 27, 2001

MICHAELBSHWGLETON PRIMARYEXAMBER GROUPARTUNITY 2017